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The MLAB computer program is an advanced mathematical and statistical modeling system. The example developed and discussed herein shows several important features of MLAB. These features include simultaneously fitting several functions with shared parameters to different data sets. The functions which make up the model are defined by a set of differential equations. These differential equations turn out to be stiff and thus require a suitable implicit method such as Gear's method to solve them numerically in a reasonable amount of time. The data used here was provided by Nicholas Holford as a challenge for modelers; it is widely disparate in scale, and we show how to use weight vectors to handle this. There is also missing data at different time points; $M L A B$ handles this problem automatically (zero weights are generated internally to correspond to missing data).

The problem setting is as follows: 48.15 milligrams of a drug $D$ is given by mouth, and blood concentrations of the drug $D$ and also of its only metabolite $M$ are measured. Also the cumulative amounts of $D$ and $M$ in the urine are measured. Thus, we have the following data.

Note: In the data table below, blanks represent missing data. In order to prepare the data for input, some value must be supplied at each place where a number is missing. Any unique value may be used for these missing values since we will remove them later. For this example, zero will be entered for the missing table values.

| time (hours) | blood-D <br> (mg/liter) | blood-M <br> (mg/liter) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { urine-D } \\ & (\mathrm{mg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { urine-M } \\ & (\mathrm{mg}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 82 | . 1746 | . 822051 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | 1.87 | 7.23 |
| 1.2 | . 166 | 1.143786 |  |  |
| 1.4 | . 1264 | 1.152462 |  |  |
| 2 | . 1092 | . 859647 | 3.23 | 15.53 |
| 2.4 | . 0904 | . 648531 |  |  |
| 2.9 | . 0828 | . 601536 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 4.02 | 21.15 |
| 3.38 | . 0704 | . 381744 |  |  |
| 3.92 | . 0591 | . 402711 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 4.59 | 25.88 |
| 4.42 | . 0511 | . 30366 |  |  |
| 5.18 | . 0355 | . 252327 |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 5.77 | 32.42 |
| 6.35 | . 0148 | . 143154 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 6.3 | 34.89 |
| 8.3 | . 0081 | . 063624 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 6.51 | 36.16 |
| 10.28 | . 0047 | . 033258 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 6.65 | 37.06 |
| 12.4 | . 0026 | . 020967 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  | 6.92 | 38.7 |
| 24.57 | . 0009 | . 006507 |  |  |
| 48 |  |  | 7.3 | 40.29 |
| 72 |  |  | 7.38 | 40.77 |

We wish to devise a model for the uptake, metabolic conversion and excretion of this drug, and curve-fit to adjust the model to fit the observed data.

The error in the blood concentration measurements has a variance which is roughly proportional to the square of the true measurement value. The error in the urine amounts has a more-rearly constant variance. Whatever model we use to predict $D(t)$ (blood drug concentration at time $t$ ), $M(t)$ (blood metabolite concentration at time $t$ ), $A(t)$ (urine drug amount at time $t$ ), and $B(t)$ (urine metabolite amount at time $t$ ), we will want to weight our observations by weights which are proportional to the reciprocals of the variances.

We will use the MLAB EWT operator which employs the deviations from a smoothed spline to estimate the errors in data values. Using EWT on the various data sets produces error estimates scaled comparably to the underlying error of the data sets themselves. This has the effect that the deviations will each be approximately sized so that each of our sets of observed data is given more or less correct weight in the sum of squares to be minimized.

As a model, let us consider the following compartmental form.


This is a highly simplified model; the path from the blood drug compartment to the blood metabolite compartment should probably include a metabolic conversion compartment, and perhaps the metabolite should go in and out of tissue as does the drug D , but this model is already near the limit of what we can usefully fit to the data.

Let $V_{B}$ be the volume in liters of the blood and let $V_{S}$ be the volume in liters of the tissue of the subject being studied. We let $V_{M}$ denote the
volume in liters of the blood-metabolite compartment; we would expect that $V_{M}=V_{B}$, but we may obtain a better fit when this constraint is not honored.

Let $D(t)=$ the concentration of the drug $D$ in the blood at the time $t$, let $M(t)$ be the concentration of the metabolite $M$ in the blood at time $t$, let $S(t)$ be the concentration of the drug $D$ in the tissue at time $t$. Also, let $A(t)$ be the cumulative amount of drug $D$ which has appeared in the urine by time $t$, and let $B(t)$ be the cumulative amount of the metabolite $M$ which has appeared in the urine by time $t$.

We can write the following model involving a first-order ordinary differential equation for each compartment.

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\prime} & =\left(I(t)-\left(k_{1}+k_{D}+k_{C}\right) D+k_{2} S\right) / V_{B} \\
S^{\prime} & =\left(k_{1} D-k_{2} S\right) / V_{S} \\
M^{\prime} & =\left(k_{C} D-k_{M} M\right) / V_{M} \\
A^{\prime} & =k_{D} D \\
B^{\prime} & =k_{M} M
\end{aligned}
$$

with $D(0)=0, M(0)=0, S(0)=0, A(0)=0$, and $B(0)=0$.
The choice of the input function, $I$, is somewhat arbitrary. However, if the drug is absorbed as fast as it passes at a constant rate into the small intestine, we may choose $I(t)=$ if $t<E_{T}$ then $48.15 / E_{T}$ else 0 , so 48.15 mg . of the drug is introduced at a constant rate over $E_{T}$ hours.

We could instead use the form $I(t)=48.15 \cdot H \cdot \exp (-H \cdot t)$, and introduce the constraint $H>0$. It turns out this makes little difference in the final results.

Note that $k_{M}, k_{C}, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are in units of liters/hour, the derivatives $D^{\prime}, S^{\prime}$, and $M^{\prime}$ are in units of $\mathrm{mg} /$ liter/hour, $A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$ are in units of $\mathrm{mg} /$ hour, $D, S$, and $M$ are in units of $\mathrm{mg} /$ liter, $A$ and $B$ are in units of mg , $V_{B}, V_{S}$ and $V_{M}$ are in units of liters, and $I(t)$ is in units of $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hour}$, and these units are dimensionally consistent.

It is necessary to use constraints for fitting this model; without them, the parameters may well be assigned foolish values where the differential equations cannot be integrated numerically. Let us assume the following
constraints.

$$
\left\{.1<E_{T}<70,0<k_{1}, 0<k_{2}, 0<k_{D}, 0<k_{C}, 0<k_{M}, 3<V_{B}<7,10<V_{S}<100, V_{M}>.01\right\}
$$

Now we need initial guesses for all the parameters. These guesses must be suitable; arbitrary guesses can lead to unreasonable final fit values, or even cause the fitting process to be unable to proceed due to excessive stiffness of the differential equations!

Suppose a unit amount of drug diffuses from blood into tissue so that half of it is transfered in one hour. Then if y is the amount of drug in the blood, we have $y^{\prime}=-k_{1} y$ with $y(0)=1$, and $y(1)=.5$, and so $k_{1} \approx .7$. Let us also guess that $k_{2}=.7$.

If half of a unit amount of drug is cleared from the blood and transferred to the urine by the kidneys in about 4 hours, then $k_{D} \approx .17$. Let us also guess that $k_{M}=.17$. Similarly, let us guess $k_{C}=.17$.

Finally we choose $V_{B}=5, V_{M}=5, V_{S}=40$, and $E_{T}=1$.
Now we may proceed in MLAB as follows. First we enter the data listed above, with zeros for missing values, and then we construct the corresponding weight vectors WD, WM, WA, and WB.

```
n = read(dataf, 100, 5)
tv = n col 1; "tv = time values"
dv = n col 2; "dv = blood drug data."
mv = n col 3; "mv = blood metabolite data."
av = n col 4; "av = urine drug data."
bv = n col 5; "bv = urine metabolite data."
dv = tv &' dv; dv = compress(dv,2); wd =ewt(dv)
mv = tv &' mv; mv = compress(mv,2); wm =ewt(mv)
av = tv &' av; av = compress(av,2); wa =ewt(av)
bv = tv &' bv; bv = compress(bv,2); wb =ewt(bv)
```

Now we enter our model, our constraints, and our inital guesses.

```
function d't(t) = (i(t) - (k1 + kd + kc)*d + k2*s)/vb
```

```
function s't(t) = (k1*d - k2*s)/vs
function m't(t) = (kc*d - km*m)/vm
function a't(t) = kd*d
function b't(t) = km*m
function i(t) = if t<et then dose/et else 0
initial d(0) = d0
initial s(0) = 0
initial m(0) = 0
initial a(0) = 0
initial b(0) = 0
dO = 0; dose = 48.15
k1=.7;k2=k1;kd=.17;km=kd;kc=kd;vb=5;vs=40;et=1;vm=5
constraints c = {k1>0, k2>0, kc>0, km>0, et>.1, et<70, vb>3, \
: vb<7, vs>10, vs<100, vm>.01}
```

Now we proceed to fit. Due to the large amount of time needed to fit this stiff model, we use Gear's method with a tolerance of .01 .

```
method = gear;
maxiter = 100
errfac = 0.01
fit(k1,k2,kc,kd,km,et,vb,vs,vm), \
: d to dv with weight wd, m to mv with weight wm, \
: a to av with weight wa, b to bv with weight wb, constraints c
final parameter values
            value error dependency parameter
            19.55670378
            12.41128315
            0.7277144348 K1
            0.9970952774 K2
            0.9611351847 KC
            95.71231349
                    56.05648908
            17.29009355
                    18.05875113
                            0.9601255658 KD
                    13.57478156
                    3.174400652
                    0.6637941471 KM
                            4.835844445
                    2.526143866
                    0.8222361079
                        0.945128974 ET
            4.168221663
                        13.3558721
                            0.5651377681 VB
```

```
\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
88.309337 & 930.8309603 & 0.997317646 & VS \\
3.929260682 & 8.161760496 & 0.9041479451 & VM
\end{tabular}
22 iterations
CONVERGED
best weighted sum of squares = 3.054944e+02
weighted root mean square error = 2.665431e+00
weighted deviation fraction = 5.505088e-02
R squared = 9.959130e-01
no active constraints
```

Now we will graph our four data sets together with the best-fit curves produced by solving our system of differential equations with the parameter values obtained above.

Note that we must beware of assuming that our obtained parameters have any physical significance. It is unlikely, for example, that the actual compartment volumes are close to the values we have for $V_{B}, V_{M}$ and $V_{S}$. Our model may be useful for prediction purposes, but it is not useful for gaining insight into any actual physiological mechanisms.

```
tv=0:75!120
draw points(d,tv) color brown
draw dv color red pt xpt lt none
image color white
top title " Drug concentration in Blood" font 11 size . 03
left title "'-90AD" font 11 size . O3
bottom title "time"
frame 0 to .5, 0 to . 5
w1=w
draw points(m,tv) color blue
draw mv color blue pt octagon lt none
image color yellow; frame color green
top title "Metabolite concentration in Blood" font 11 color brown size . 03
left title "'-90AM" font 11 size . 03
bottom title "time"
frame . }5\mathrm{ to 1, 0 to . }
w2=w
```

```
draw points(a,tv) color purple
draw av color red pt square lt none
image color grey; frame color brown
top title " Drug amount in Urine" font 11 size . 03
left title "'-90AA" font 11 size . 03
bottom title "time"
frame 0 to .5, . }5\mathrm{ to 1
w3=w
draw points(b,tv) color brown
draw bv color blue pt crosspt lt none
image color aqua; frame color red
top title " Metabolite amount in Urine" font 11 size . 03
left title "-90AB" font 11 size . 03
bottom title "time"
window 0 to 80, 0 to 45
frame . }5\mathrm{ to 1, . }5\mathrm{ to 1
w4=w
view
```
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Metabolite concentratic

This is not the only reasonable fit. Starting from other guesses, for example: $K_{1}=223, K_{2}=14.7, K_{C}=66, K_{D}=11.7, K_{M}=9.7, E_{T}=$ $1.95, V_{B}=6.24, V_{S}=12.35$, and $V_{M}=0.04$, we can obtain other, quite different, results. The large dependency values of the parameters indicate that this problem does not have a unique answer. Probably the problem is over-parameterized.

```
k1 = 223; k2 = 14.7
kd = 11.7; km = 9.7; kc = 66
vb = 6.24; vs = 12.35; vm =.04; et = 1.95;
fit(k1,k2,kc,kd,km,et,vb,vs,vm),\
: d to dv with weight wd, m to mv with weight wm, \
: a to av with weight wa, b to bv with weight wb, constraints c
final parameter values
            value error dependency parameter
        222.3296966
                                27.76132266
                                0.8337053755 K1
            14.7359648
        65.98333895
            11.7407663
            9.697523939
            1.960006851
            5.964737228
            12.31946483
    0.04208395842
3 iterations
CONVERGED
best weighted sum of squares = 4.491649e+01
weighted root mean square error = 1.022042e+00
weighted deviation fraction = 2.993774e-02
R squared = 9.941458e-01
no active constraints
```

The graphical results of this fit are shown below. Note we fit the blooddrug and blood-metabolite concentrations more closely at the expense of urine-drug and urine-metabolite fitting.


The existence of multiple local minima corresponding to different parameter values is further indication that our model is not physically accurate. Thus, we should choose our parameter values so that the four curves are adequately predicted without concern for the physical meanings of the parameters.

